

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States; Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/961,112 | 09/24/2001 | Hideki Kinugawa | 214039US2X | 5982 |
| 22850 | 7590 12/16/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3661 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | pplicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/961,112 | KINUGĄ WA, HIDEKI | | | | |
| . Advisory Monon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jacques H Louis-Jacques | 3661 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ition. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | \ | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6-9,12-15,20 and 21</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | _ | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | —) AIM | | | | |
| 10. Other: | % | Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661 | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations to the claims and the newly added claim would require further consideration and search.